

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,734	03/29/2004	Keith F. Woodruff	500-020-1-1-1-1	1688	
7:	590 02/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Mark P. Stone	:		JACYNA, J	JACYNA, J CASIMER	
4th Floor 25 Third Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Stamford, CT	06905		3751		
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/200	DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
~
1-
-

Advisory Action

Application No. 10/811,734		Applicant(s)	
		WOODRUFF, KEITH F.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. Casimer Jacyna	3751	

	1 3.37.1,131	100011011111211				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	J. Casimer Jacyna	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress			
HE REPLY FILED <u>23 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL BE JECTION. See MREP 706 07(b).						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			(DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-32						
<u></u>	= · · ·					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2-11,41,42,44,46,49 and 50. Claim(s) objected to: 43,45 and 47. Claim(s) rejected: 1,12,15 and 48. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s).	acz			
		J. Casimer Jacyna Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3751				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments concerning the double patenting rejection and the terminal disclaimer are being reviewed, however, a final decision has not been reached. In regard to claim 12, Applicant's arguments are far narrower than the claim language because the contended limitation of a handle integrally formed in a sidewall of the container as a recess does not appear in claim 12. Note that neither the term "integrally" nor the term "recess" appear anywhere in claim 12. Consequently, Applicant's contended limitation of a handle that is an intergrally formed recess in a sidewall is clearly not claimed.